



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/414,307	10/06/1999	DAVID W. RITTER	MLNR-07100	3112

28960 7590 02/25/2004

HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP
162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086

EXAMINER

AN, SHAWN S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2613

11

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/414,307

Applicant(s)

RITTER ET AL.

Examiner

Shawn S An

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 and 33-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 26-29, 34 and 36-44 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 33 and 35 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 33 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 33 and 35 can not depend from the canceled claims. Accordingly the claims 33 and 35 have not been further treated on the merits.

Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-6, 10-15, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dischert et al (4,191,971).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 10, and 15, Dischert et al discloses an apparatus/method for receiving video signals from video cameras, comprising:
a selector/multiplexer (Fig. 1, 220) having a plurality of inputs (17, 217) wherein each input receives one of a plurality of video signals;
a video decoder (21) coupled to the selector for receiving a selected one of the plurality of video signals; and
a controller (27 or 8 or 219) coupled to the video decoder for conditioning the video decoder according to a parameter (col. 2, lines 1-9).

Regarding claims 2-3 and 11-12, Dischert et al discloses a memory device (RAM) for storing parameter in a storage location (col. 3, lines 10-45).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Cooper et al discloses the parameter being a selected one of a plurality of stored parameters (col. 2, lines 46-48).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, Dischert et al discloses the parameter being obtained from the video decoder (col. 3, lines 40-41).

Regarding claim 22, Dischert et al discloses gain level for the video signal (col. 2, lines 5-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dischert et al (4,191,971).

The Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the relevant art for such device as a genlock block to perform a horizontal phase of video signal for synchronizing the timing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing apparatus/method for receiving video signals from video cameras as taught by Dischert et al to incorporate the conventionally well known concept of horizontal phase as taught by Cooper et al for enhancing quality of the specific needs of the individual camera.

7. Claims 7, 16-17, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dischert et al (4,191,971) in view of Cooper et al (5,870,139).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Dischert et al does not specifically disclose horizontal frequency of video signal.

However, Cooper et al discloses a horizontal frequency (231b) of video signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing apparatus/method for receiving video signals from video cameras as taught by Dischert et al to incorporate the conventional camera parameter such as horizontal frequency as taught by Cooper et al for enhancing quality of the specific needs of the individual camera.

Regarding claim 17, it is well known in the art for such device as a genlock block to perform a horizontal phase of video signal for synchronizing the timing.

Regarding claim 23, Dischert et al does not specifically disclose dc clamping of video signal.

However, Cooper et al discloses dc clamping level (250) of video signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing apparatus/method for receiving video signals from video cameras as taught by Dischert et al to incorporate the conventional camera parameter such as dc

clamping as taught by Cooper et al for enhancing quality of the specific needs of the individual camera.

8. Claims 8-9 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dischert et al as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, respectively, and further in view of Vincent (5,436,659).

Regarding claims 8 and 24, Dischert et al fails to disclose the decoder comprising a genlock block.

However, Vincent teaches a conventional genlock block (Fig. 2A, 100) for synchronizing the timing generated by ASIC to an image signal from an external source such as a video camera.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing an apparatus/method for receiving video signals from video cameras as taught by Dischert et al to incorporate the conventional concept of genlock block as taught by Vincent for synchronizing the timing generated by an image signal from a video camera.

Regarding claims 9 and 25, Vincent discloses ADC (Fig. 1, 24) for converting video signals into a series of digital samples and performing sampling according to pulses received from the timing generator (18).

9. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dischert et al as applied to claims 10 and 26 above, respectively, and further in view of Holmes (4,167,021).

Regarding claims 19-21, Dischert et al does not specifically disclose chrominance frequency and chrominance phase of the video signal.

However, Holmes teaches conventionally well known chrominance frequency and chrominance phase of the video signal (abs.; Fig. 1, 12) for improving the quality of color video signals.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing an apparatus/method for receiving video signals from video cameras as

Art Unit: 2613

taught by Dischert et al to incorporate the conventional concept of as chrominance frequency and phase of the video signal taught by Holmes for improving the quality of color of video signals.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 26-29, 34, and 36-44 allowed.

11. Claims 26-29, 34, and 36-44 comprise the novel features as discussed in the last Official action, wherein the art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the novel features.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

A) Chen et al (5,553,609), Intelligent remote visual monitoring system for home health care service..

B) Han (5,150,212), Control system for recording and reproducing a plurality of video signals.

C) Digital KK (JP 11032326 A), Switching circuit for video image display device in video camera.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn S An whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).



SHAWN S. AN
PATENT EXAMINER

SSA

Primary Patent Examiner

2/22/04